

RAVEN

Santa Fe

2043

# WHERE DOES THE CLASSIFICATION "FL9" **COME FROM? AFTER REALIZING THE FL9'S LESS THAN STELLAR PERFORMANCE, NH CREWS HAD THEIR OWN DEFINITION OF "FL9". SINCE** THIS IS A FAMILY SHOW, WE'LL STICK WITH **EMD'S CLASSIFICATION:** F-UNIT, LONGER, TYPE 9. **THE ACTUAL NH CLASSIFICATION WAS 2000-**2029 EDER-5; 2030-2059 EDER-5A. EDER MEANT ELECTRIC-DIESEL ELECTRIC ROAD.

# The original concept for the FL9 was for the Santa Fe

The purpose was to carry extra water tanks to eliminate stops.

The Santa Fe wanted an engine with a larger water capacity than the FP9.

# Would FL9's have looked better with "Warbonnet on it?" Artwork courtesy of Bill Schneider Rapido Trains, Inc.



# What may have been, and what was.







# SANTA FE ROUTE MAP

# New Haven Route Map



# AT&SF vs NYNH&H

## Chicago to LA; 2014.9 miles

# NYC to Boston; 229.5 miles





# The Santa Fe decided against the FL9 concept and continued to use F7s in A-B-B-A configurations if needed on their Chicago to · LA trains.



### **Cos Cob Power Plant**

In 1956 the New Haven contracted with the consulting firm of Coverdale & Colpitts to review the viability of upgrading the electrification system.

In the meantime, the NH was taking delivery of the 10 EP-5s from GE.

leantime, Coverdale & Colpitts submitted their report to the New Haven on the Electrification Issue." The bottom line was · "So long as the wires are up its cheaper to use them." They recommended that the upgrade cost to the electrification of \$17mil would be more wisely spent on the electrification rather than spending it on a hybrid, unproven FL9 that would be running under un-used energized wires.

A.B. 245, Port Chester ny Looking East April 1931 BFI



### Map Showing NHRR Electrification NYC to New Haven, Conn.



# **New Haven DL-109s**

NHRR president F.C. Dumaine Jr. put forth a proposal to give the DL-109s 3<sup>rd</sup> rail capability. They would prove to have been too heavy for the NYC's Park Ave. viaduct, and too costly to modify. The NH then placed an order for the 10 EP-5s.



### 1955; brand-new EP-5 in Stamford, Conn. on The Senator



# **The EMD Plan**

# What was it that EMD proposed to the New Haven ?



### THE VAN NEST SHOPS IN THE BRONX

What EMD proposed to the New Haven was to close and sell off the Van Nest Electric Shops in The Bronx, the Readville Shops in Mass. and centralize all repairs in New Haven. What then was in it for EMD. . . . \$\$\$?



# EP-5 vs FL9

One EP-5 was good for 20 cars. 4000 cont. horsepower; short time rating of 10,000! One FL9 was good for 6 cars. 1750 horsepower 2000-2029 1800 horsepower 2030-2059





# What did the NH do based on the Coverdale & Colpitts report?

They ignored it based on instructions from Mr. Alpert and ordered 30 of the unproven and un-tried FL9, and began to dieselize all freight service. It would take 4 diesels to do what one EF-3 could do. NEW HAVEN This sealed the fate for most of the NH's motors. A mistake that would manifest itself by 1963.

# **FL9 Aftermath**

# **EP-3s and PAs on the deadline**

23 year old EP-4s on the scrap line





EMD then built two demonstrators painted in NH colors and numbered them 2000-2001. They originally had a Blomberg front truck which was eventually replaced with a longer wheelbase 4 wheel Flexicoil truck.

EMD tried selling FL9s to the PRR for NY&LB service and to the NYC. After testing on the NYC, they went to the PRR. After a 3<sup>rd</sup> rail fire in the North River tunnel on a test run of the FL9s, the *PRR "kindly" banned them from the property*, the NYC was not too thrilled with them either. Since McGinnis and Alpert were so anti-electric, the FL9s found a home on the NH.



DEMONSTRATORS 2000-2001 IN NEW HAVEN COLORS AT LA GRANGE OCTOBER, 1956. NOTE THE BLOMBERG TRUCK. THEY WERE OFFICIALLY ACCEPTED BY THE NH ON AUGUST 1, 1957 AFTER EXTENSIVE TESTING.



# **STANDARD BLOMBERG TRUCK**





EXTENDED WHEELBASE FLEXICOIL FRONT TRUCK PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF 3<sup>RD</sup> RAIL PICK-UP EQUIPMENT. THE STANDARD BLOMBERG TRUCK COULD NOT BE ADAPTED TO ACCOMODATE THE NECESSARY 3<sup>RD</sup> RAIL APPARATUS. THE FLEXICOIL TRUCK WAS FIRST USED ON THE GM AEROTRAIN.

# **STANDARD 3-AXLE FLEXICOIL TRUCK**



The original plan was to purchase 120 FL9s, units 2000-2029 were delivered in 1957; units 2030-2059 in 1960. By 1960, the NH's financial condition led to bankruptcy in 1961. No more FL9s would be ordered. FL9 No.2059 would be the last FL9 and also the last F-unit built.

# So, there were 60 FL9s delivered in 2 orders; 1957 - 2000-2029 and 1960 - 2030-2059. What were the differences?





# A pantograph on a diesel??

The first 30 FL9s (NH Class EDER-5) were equipped with an overhead 3<sup>rd</sup> rail contact shoe to make contact with the overhead 3<sup>rd</sup> rail in GCT which was placed over complex trackwork to prevent stalling in the 3<sup>rd</sup> rail gaps. All NH and NYC motors that used GCT were equipped with a DC pantograph.

# Units 2000-2029 had a 16-567C Prime Mover of 1750HP Units 2030-2059 had a 16-567D1 Prime Mover of 1800HP



While the first 30 FL9s helped somewhat, more engines were needed. Due to the NH's deteriorating financial condition, the NH applied for an ICC loan guarantee. Certain stipulations were set forth by the ICC. . .

WHEREAS THE FIRST 30 HAD NOSE MU CAPABILITY, THE SECOND 30 WOULD NOT. THE ROOF MOUNTED PANTOGRAPH WOULD BE ELIMINATED AS WOULD THE REAR LADDER. THE FIRST 30 HAD DYNAMIC BRAKES, THE SECOND 30 WOULD NOT. THEY WERE TO BE USED ONLY IN PASSENGER SERVICE. THE FIRST 30 UNITS HAD 3 SEATS IN THE CAB, THE SECOND 30 WOULD HAVE ONLY 2. **ROOF PIPING WOULD ALSO BE MODIFIED.** 



No. 2049 enroute to the New Haven in October, 1960.

In 1961 the ICC issued what was known as the Webb-Reis Report which stated that the biggest financial blunder committed by the New Haven since it emerged from reorganization in 1947 was the \$17m purchase of the 60 FL9s.

# FL9s in service

# The various routes they covered

With the reduction in electrics due to the McGinnis/Alpert motive power policies, FL9s would sometimes be assigned to a Penn Job. The only passenger motors left were the ten Jets. Here are two FL9s at Bartow in The Bronx.




FL9s on The Hell Gate Bridge. Note that it took two FL9s to do what one motor would do.

### FL9s enter 3<sup>rd</sup> rail territory at Woodlawn, NY on the NYC.



#### FL9s departing Stamford;1968



#### Springfield, MA; 1965



#### Berkshire Jct. 1959



#### Berlin, CT; Jan. 1958



#### FL9/GP9 South Station



# January 1, 1969; Penn Central absorbs the NH.

#### PC repainted the FL9s in this "stunning" black & white scheme. The engines were re- numbered into the PC system.



#### When keep 'em running over-rode keep 'em painted.









#### While operating under the MTA thru PC's Metropolitan Region, the FL9s got a bit more colorful.



#### April 1,1976 CONRAIL goes into effect The FL9s now enter their 3<sup>rd</sup> ownership.



The FL9s retained the same basic MTA scheme; PC came off and were replaced by the new CR logo.

But wait. . . . there's more! Congress mandates that by Jan. 1, 1983 CONRAIL MUST EXIT PASSENGER SERVICE. . .



*Jan. 1, 1983 Metro-North Commuter Railroad is created and assumes all the passenger service from* CONRAIL *on the Harlem, Hudson and New Haven* 



FL9 in Metro-North color scheme; the 4<sup>th</sup> for the FL9's



In 1985 ConnDOT has four FL9s rebuilt by Chrome Locomotive and repainted in the standard New Haven color scheme.



#### Rebuilt FL9 in South Norwalk enroute to Danbury



Amtrak purchases a total of 12 FL9s beginning in 1975.

#### Amtrak FL9 at Beacon,NY







Eastern States Exposition 1960 along with The Car 1000

#### IT HAPPENED TO JANE July, 1958



#### THE LEGACY OF THE FL9

IIIIc

PDDictureArchives NET Image Convright Bob Beaumont

## The FL9 and its successor.FL9P32AC-DM





In the overall scheme of operations on the New Haven, the FL9s were a monumental mistake. They never totally eliminated the engine change in New Haven or the electrification as McGinnis wanted to. The timetable still reflected the 5 minute engine change in New Haven. They should never have been purchased by the NH.



- In March of 1960 ICC commissioners Webb and Reis were assigned to investigate the NH. Their report served-on-Nov. 21, 1960 was not too favorable towards the 13 years following the 1947: reorganization. Their report dited ' the
  - most costly blunder of all-was Alpert's



 $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ 

Q

ectr

now saddled with the cost of operating and maintaining 2 diesels per train when

Their true and more lasting success came after the PC merger when FL9s were sent over to the Harlem and Hudson Lines which allowed retirement of the aging ex-NYC T and P Class motors.



While not one FL9 remains in class one service in 2015, they will no doubt be remembered as one of the New Haven's most famous and controversial locomotives.